Speaker Background

- Cardiovascular physiologist – UC Davis
- Academia – Medicine & Physiology, PSU Sch of Med
- Industry – J&J, Squibb, Alliance, Trega, Galileo
- Advisor – von Liebig Center, UCSD
- Investor – Tech Coast Angels
- Entrepreneur - CardioCreate, OncoFluor
- NHLBI – Advisor to the Office of Translational Alliances & Coordination (OTAC) & the NCAI

http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/about/dera/otac/index.html
OTAC Contact Point: nhlbi_sbir@mail.gov
Agenda

1. Assessing Technology Readiness

2. Picking Technologies at the Correct Level of Readiness

3. Important Interactions
   a. Campus Technology Transfer Offices and Others

4. License the Technology or Form a Start-up
Assessing Technology Readiness

General Criteria

• Novel technology
• Protectable (IP)
• Good team
• Attractive market to partner/investor
• Maximum $200,000 (Fed $) + additional $ (Center)
• Maximum 2 years in Center
• “Exit” Center after 2 years
Technology “Exit”

Definition of “Exit” at 2 years

• License to existing commercial entity
• License to start-up formed to commercialize technology
• Attracts support for additional work (e.g. clinical trial)
• Returned to the institution
Assessing Technology Readiness

Technology Status

Degree of Maturity

LOW
- Hypothesis testing
- Basic research on the effect
- Basic effect observed/confirmed (*in vitro* or *in vivo*)
- Pre-clinical POC in process/complete
- General safety assessment completed
- IND/IDE enabling studies underway/completed
- Clinical testing initiated

HIGH
- Clinical benefit/safety established
Assessing Technology Readiness

Intellectual Property Status

Degree of Maturity

LOW

- Technology presented or published
- IP plan established (no prior public disclosure)
- Disclosure filed with Tech Transfer Office (TTO)
- Provisional patent(s) filed (US)
- “Informal” freedom-to-operate (FTO) complete
- Full patent(s) filed (US)
- International patent(s) filings initiated
- Formal FTO complete
- US patent(s) issued/published
- International patent(s) issued/published

HIGH
Assessing Technology Readiness

Commercial Status

Degree of Maturity

LOW

• Solution looking for a problem
• Multiple potential applications defined
• Platform technology with multiple applications
• Target applications being discussed
• First commercial application defined
• Customer traction obtained
• Competitive landscape defined
• Go-to-market plan in development
• Business plan in development
• Investor/licensor term-sheet in play
• Forming a start-up to acquire technology
Picking Technologies

Technology Status

Degree of Maturity

LOW

• Hypothesis testing
• Basic research on the effect
• Basic effect observed/confirmed (in vitro or in vivo)
• Pre-clinical POC in process/complete
• General safety assessment completed
• IND/IDE enabling studies underway/completed

HIGH

• Clinical testing initiated
• Clinical benefit/safety established
## Picking Technologies

### Intellectual Property Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of Maturity</th>
<th>Technology presented or published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>IP plan established <em>(no prior public disclosure)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclosure filed with Tech Transfer Office (TTO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provisional patent(s) filed (US)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Informal” freedom-to-operate (FTO) complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full patent(s) filed (US)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International patent(s) filings initiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formal FTO complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>US patent(s) issued/published</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International patent(s) issued/published</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Picking Technologies

Commercial Status

Degree of Maturity

LOW

• Solution looking for a problem
• Multiple potential applications defined
• Platform technology with multiple applications
• Target applications being discussed
• First commercial application defined
• Customer traction obtained
• Competitive landscape defined
• Go-to-market plan in development
• Business plan in development
• Investor/licensor term sheet in play
• Forming a start-up to acquire technology

HIGH
Eligible Projects

Ideal Team

• Technology lead
  o Professor/Faculty/Postdoctoral fellow
  o Graduate/Undergraduate student
• Commercial/business lead
  o Experienced entrepreneur
  o B School ex-MBA student
• Mentor/Advisor/Potential Investor
• Tech Transfer Officer
Important Interactions

Campus Tech Transfer Office (TTO)

• Meet your Tech Transfer Officer – establish rapport
• Your TT Officer is your partner
• Learn how to create/file a disclosure
• File disclosure with TTO before presenting or publishing
• Work with your TT Officer to set patent claims and scope
• Technology valuation discussion
  o Highest valuation sought by owner of the technology
  o Lowest valuation sought by licensee of the technology
Points of Discussion

Understand

• Who owns the technology?
  o Institution - Faculty, staff, postdoc, graduate student
  o Undergraduate student

• Technology valuation
  o Highest valuation sought by owner of the technology
  o Lowest valuation sought by licensee of the technology
  o Doing a start-up? You are the licensee
Important Interactions

Commercial/Business Lead

• Defining the technology is only half the battle
• Most innovator/technologists lack business skills
  o Competitive landscape
  o Target market
  o Customer base
  o Go-to-market strategy
  o Overall business plan preparation
• Investors avoid technologist-led projects
• Engage early with a commercial/business lead
Important Interactions

Mentor/Advisor/Potential Investor

• Experienced (retired?) executive
  o Been there – done that
  o Seeking to pay back
  o Looking for a way to engage/stay active
  o Angel investor interested in University technologies

• Help with team formation
• Team mentor/advisor
• Extensive support/investor network in the community
• Engage a mentor early in the project
License the Technology vs. Forming a Start-Up

Points to Consider

• Institution owns the IP
• Institution wants to license
• You are part of the Institution
• Institution will ask for your help
  o Set value of IP
  o Determine fields of application
  o Define the patent claims
License the Technology vs. Forming a Start-Up

Role of Scientist/Innovator in a Start-up

• Maintaining your academic status?
  o Cannot be company employee or officer
  o Consulting time usually allowed
  o Best role – Founder & Chair of Science Advisory Board

• Doing a start-up but no real business experience?
  o Don’t try to learn on the job
  o Bring in an experienced CEO
  o Become Chief Technology Officer
License the Technology vs. Forming a Start-Up

Key Questions for the Scientist/Innovator

• Are you ready to leave academia?
  o Postdoc ready to go full-time into a start-up?

• Do you have passion for the technology?

• Is there an experienced business lead/CEO?
  o Most investors avoid start-ups led by scientist/innovator

• Do you have legal counsel?
  o Corporate law - company formation
  o Transaction law – license negotiations with the institution
  o IP law - maintenance/development of IP

• Do you have investor interest/traction?
License the Technology vs. Forming a Start-Up

Missing key components for a start-up? License may be the correct path forward.

Seek to do what’s best for the **product**!
UC CAI
University of California Center for Accelerated Innovation

Questions?

Stephen F. Flaim, Ph.D., FACC, FAHA
Senior Special Advisor, NHLBI Office of Translational Alliances & Coordination
flaimsf@nhlbi.nih.gov
Finding/Engaging a Business Lead

• Business School - Executive MBA program
  o Experienced scientists with full-time jobs
  o Seeking to gain business skills/become entrepreneurs
  o Night and weekend classes

• “Lab-to-Market” Class
  o Need to develop commercial aspects of a technology project

• Respect for their business expertise
  o These are not “students”
  o They add significant value to the project
  o May be your first CEO
  o Prepare to provide some incentive beyond the class work